

EXERCISE: RESEARCH INTEGRITY AND RESPONSIBLE PRACTICE



1

INTRODUCTION

Duration: 60 min

Number of groups: 6

Participants: alle

Structure:

1. Intro and grouping - (5 min)
2. Individual brainstorm (10 min)
3. Group dialog (35 min)
4. Ending (10 min)



3

WHY?

- **The purpose** is to strengthen our work with research integrity
- **The assumption** is that everyone has read the Danish Code of Integrity in Research and listened to the previous presentation
- **Six groups** with the headlines:
 1. Research planning and conduct
 2. Data Management
 3. Publication and communication
 4. Authorship
 5. Collaborative research
 6. Conflicts of interest
- **The end result** is to get concrete ideas and suggestions



2

THE GROUPS

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Group 5	Group 6
Søren Borg Lise Nistrup Jørgensen Sabine Ravnskov Mathieu Lamandé Diego Abalos Rodriguez Lars Elsgaard Jim Rasmussen Nick Hutchings Henrik Skovgård Per Kryger Ib Sillebak Kristensen	Bernd Wollenweber Louise Hjelmrøth Solvejg Kopp Mathiassen Mogens Greve Eilly Møller Hansen Peter Sørensen Jørgen E Olesen Poul Erik Lærke Mette Vestergård Torsten Rødel Berg Lisbeth Mogensen	Birte Boelt Mette Sønderkov Emmanuel Arthur Per Schjønnning Gitte Rubæk Søren O. Petersen Kiril Manevski René Larsen Michael Kristensen Chris Kjeldsen Marie Trydeman Knudsen Andrius Hansen	Fiona Hay Peter Hartvig Lars Munkholm Preben Olsen Ingrid Kaaq Thomsen Christen Børgesen Kirsten Kørup Ying Wang Mogens Hovmøller Anne Grete Kongsted Martin Thorsøe	Inge Sindbjerg Fomsgaard Peter Kryger Jensen Lorenzo Pugliese Arezo Taghizadeh-Toosi Iris Vogeler Finn Plauborg Mathias Neumann Andersen Annemarie Fejer Justesen Mogens Nicolaisen Birger Faurholt Pedersen Tommy Dalgaard	Johannes Ravn Jørgensen René Gislum Maria Knadel Bent T. Christensen Jørgen Eriksen Jens Grønbech Hansen Mette Balslev Greve Chris Sørensen Niels Holst Heidi Mai-Lis Andersen



4

INDIVIDUAL BRAINSTORM (5 MIN)

- **The purpose** is to kick start the thought process around the subject
- Find **inspiration and help** with the questions:
- Where should we prioritise efforts?
- Where do we have some weaknesses?
- Where's something we don't do?
- Write down as many **ideas and suggestions** as possible
- Stick the ideas to the **group table**
- They will be used as part of the next **exercise**
- The exercise takes place in **silence**



GROUP DIALOG (30 MIN)

- **The purpose** is to discuss and prioritise ideas
- **Ideas** from the past are qualified and elaborated
- New **topics** can be included
- Find inspiration and **help** with the questions:
- Where should we prioritise efforts?
- Where do we have some weaknesses?
- Where's something we don't do?
- **Prioritise** the ideas



5

ENDING (10 MIN)

- The prioritised set of ideas is put on the board
- Presentation of the result tomorrow v. Jørgen E. Olesen
 - What have you achieved in the groups?
 - What can we use it for going forward?



6



7

8