


FOLLOW UP – DAY 1



GROUP 1 – RESEARCH PLANNING AND CONDUCT


- Weakness: We do not plan or document research well enough
- Plan possible publications and authorship in the beginning of the project
- Common and public storage of data
- The department needs to offer FAST and efficient legal assistance to ensure contracts and collaboration agreements



1

GROUP 2 – DATA MANAGEMENT

- Need for clear guidelines for data management for both VIP and TAP
- Need data management policy and guidelines
- Need for system data archiving
- Establish a data-management team



2

GROUP 3 – PUBLICATION AND COMMUNICATION

- Standard AU contracts for externally funded projects
- Clearly defined publication participants in the start of any project
- Say no to publishing incomplete results and ideas
- Do not publish in AU's name
- Send reports to Heine Andersen




3

4

GROUP 4 - AUTHORSHIP

- Pressure to publish – being measured by publications etc.
- We do not have a strong editor for non-peer review science articles/reports
- There is a lack of time between the project finishing (people leaving) and the paper being written – difficult to confirm contributions



GROUP 5 - COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH

- Clear rules of roles – who will provide what, who will deliver what + by when
- Is there a way to verify the correctness of other research partners?
- Need for funding may give pressure to (especially) non-permanent staff
- Weakness: Friendships with former colleagues



5

GROUP 6 - CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- Do we want to avoid them?
- Can we require that our collaborators follow the Danish Code of Conduct?
- We might say yes to projects, which we should not have
- The public and press is questioning whether any research done in collaboration with the industry can be independent
- Contracts for business-financed projects are not always signed - not even GUDP



7

6

8